



## CABINET

Report subject	<b>Traffic Regulation Orders – Sealing of Traffic Regulation Order Ref P18 2019</b>
Meeting date	27 May 2020
Status	Public Report
Executive summary	To consider representations following the advertisement of the Traffic Regulation Order for P18 2019 Disabled Bay Proposals
Recommendations	<b>The Transportation Advisory Group is asked to consider recommending to the Cabinet that it approves: the order is confirmed and sealed as detailed in Appendix 1.</b>
Reason for recommendations	To approve the making sealing of the Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) so that new on-street disabled bays and changes to existing on-street disabled bays can be implemented.
Portfolio Holder(s):	Councillor Andy Hadley – Cabinet Member for Transport and Infrastructure
Corporate Director	Bill Cotton – Director of Regeneration and Economy
Service Director	Julian McLaughlin – Director of Growth & Infrastructure
Contributors	Chris Parkes – Team Leader Traffic Management Steve Dean – Traffic Management Engineer
Wards	Various
Classification	For Decision

### Background

- Residents who hold a blue disabled badge for parking may apply for a residential disabled bay outside their home subject to certain conditions. These can be either a general disabled bay for use by all blue badge holders, or a permit bay for use by the permit holder only.

All the proposed disabled bays in Appendix 1 meet the required conditions and have successfully completed the disabled bay application process. All the proposed removals have been requested by the applicant or residents and have been ratified by Officers.

**Summary of financial implications**

2. The costs associated with both the consultation and implementation of the Traffic Regulations Order (TRO) would be covered by the income from the disabled bay application fees. The whole review cost is estimated to be £8,000.

**Summary of legal implications**

Highways Authorities are required to give formal consideration to any representations received during the advertisement period.

**Summary of human resources implications**

3. None

**Summary of environmental impact**

4. None

**Summary of public health implications**

5. None

**Summary of equality implications**

6. Any Equality and Diversity Impact assessments are enclosed in the background papers.

**Summary of risk assessment**

7. Any initial risk assessments that have been completed have been classed as low risk.

**Background papers**

Initial Risk assessment

EINA Screening Record

**Appendices**

Appendix 1 – List of schemes, summary of representations and responses to issues raised.

## Appendix 1

## Summary of Representations to P18 2019 Disabled Bay Proposals

Legend: DPP – Disabled Parking Place

	Road Name	Location	Proposed Restriction Description	Existing Restriction	BCP Wards	Response	Decision
1.	Jewell Road	Adjacent to No. 181 Jewell Road	Disabled - Permit	Unrestricted	Strouden Park	No response received	Not to be implemented via this Traffic Order  Reason: included in this Traffic Order in error, previously included in P1 2019
2.	Ibbertson Road	Adjacent to No. 33 Ibbertson Road	Disabled - Permit	Unrestricted	Muscliff & Strouden Park	No response received	Not to be implemented  Reason: Incorrect location advertised. The proposal for a permit disabled bay at the rear of the property on Ibbertson Way will be included in the next available traffic order.
3.	Marmion Green	Adjacent to the side of 7 Marmion green (parking bay in Amethyst Road)	Disabled - Permit	Unrestricted	Burton & Grange	No response received	Implement as advertised  Reason: no objections received
4.	St Marys Road Bournemouth	Adjacent to No. 2 St Marys Road	Disabled - Permit	Unrestricted	East Cliff & Springbourne	No response received	Implement as advertised  Reason: no objections received

## SUB REPORT L

5.	Kings Park Road	Adjacent to No. 14 Kings Park Road	Disabled - General	Unrestricted	East Cliff & Springbourne	No response received	Implement as advertised Reason: no objections received
6.	Coronation Avenue	28 Coronation Avenue	Disabled - Conversion	Disabled Bay	Moordown	4 in support  2 objections:  - the existing bay is used by other blue badge holders, both residents and visitors  - suggests additional bay is provided  - questions eligibility for the bay  - bay will be under-utilised as applicant has in the past been away for months	Implement as advertised Reason:  - the existing disabled bay was provided for this permit bay applicant  - other residents who hold a blue badge may apply for a disabled bay  - the conditions for a permit disabled bay have been met
7.	Norwich Avenue West	Adjacent to No. 34 Norwich Avenue West	Disabled - Permit	No Waiting At Any Time	Bournemouth Central	No response received	Implement as advertised Reason: no objections received
8.	St Clements Road	Adjacent to No. 36 St Clements Road	Disabled - Permit	Unrestricted	Boscombe West	No response received	Implement as advertised Reason: no objections received
9.	Cheshire Drive	Adjacent to No. 15 Cheshire Drive. In last bay closest to the garages (near A338) beside the concrete bollards.	Disabled - General	Unrestricted	Muscliff & Strouden Park	1 objection received:  - allows one resident their own parking space  - parking is a problem as just 7 spaces for 12 flats and parking taken by JP Morgan, leisure	Implement as advertised Reason:  - the disabled bay allows for a blue badge holder to access their vehicle as close as possible to

## SUB REPORT L

						centre and hospital workers	their property - the conditions for a residential disabled bay have been met
10.	Lincoln Avenue	Adjacent to No. 34 Lincoln Avenue	Disabled - Conversion	Disabled Bay	East Cliff & Springbourne	No response received	Implement as advertised Reason: no objections received
11.	Linwood Road	Adjacent to No. 14 Linwood Road	Disabled - Permit	Unrestricted	Winton East	No response received	Implement as advertised Reason: no objections received