

## CABINET



Report subject	<b>Traffic Regulation Orders – Sealing of Traffic Regulation Orders (Ref P17 2019)</b>
Meeting date	27 May 2020
Status	Public Report
Executive summary	To consider representations following the advertisement of Traffic Regulation Order for P17 2019 October Review
<b>Recommendations</b>	<p><b>The Transportation Advisory Group is asked to consider recommending to the Cabinet that it approves:</b></p> <p><b>The Order is confirmed and sealed as detailed in Appendix 1</b></p>
Reason for recommendations	<p>P17 2019 October Review</p> <p>To approve the making/sealing of the proposed changes to the Traffic Regulation Order so that the new restrictions (to improve the parking facilities available to the local community, improve road safety and to ensure essential services can take place) can be implemented.</p>

## SUB REPORT K

Portfolio Holder(s):	Councillor Andy Hadley – Cabinet Member for Transport and Infrastructure
Corporate Director	Bill Cotton – Director of Regeneration and Economy
Service Director	Julian McLaughlin – Director of Growth & Infrastructure
Report Authors	Chris Parkes – Team Leader Traffic Management
Wards	Various
Classification	For Decision

### Background

1. The restrictions listed in Appendix 1 have been requested by members of the public, councillors and council officers throughout the year preceding the BCP merger. The restrictions have been subject to Officer scrutiny and a scoring system. This has ranked the requests to enable the limited resources to be allocated to the most important locations.

### Summary of financial implications

2. The costs associated with both the consultation and implementation of the Traffic Regulations Order (TRO) would be covered by the Permanent Traffic Regulation Order budget or by the developer/property owner in the case of new vehicle accesses or development works. The whole review cost is estimated to be £10,000.

### Summary of legal implications

3. Highways Authorities are required by The Local Authorities' Traffic Orders (Procedure) (England and Wales) Regulations 1996 to undertake a statutory consultation process to make any change to a TRO. The process includes notifications to all relevant ward councillors and all statutory consultees (including emergency services, disability groups, local public transport providers, national transport associations and various council departments) and a three week public consultation noticed in the Bournemouth Daily Echo, on the council's website and by on-street notices in the relevant locations.
4. All representations received have been formally considered.

### Summary of human resources implications

5. None

### Summary of environmental impact

6. None

### Summary of public health implications

7. None

### **Summary of equality implications**

8. The Equality and Diversity Impact assessment is enclosed in the background papers.

### **Summary of risk assessment**

9. The initial risk assessment has classed the proposals as low risk.

### **Background papers**

Initial Risk assessment

EINA Screening Record

### **Appendices**

Appendix 1 – List of schemes

**Appendix 1**

**Summary of Representations, and Responses to the proposals  
P17 2019 Autumn Review October 2019**

The outcome of the public consultation was;

Legend: NWAAT – No Waiting At Any Time (double yellow lines), DYL – Double Yellow Line, NLAAT – No Loading/unloading At Any Time (double kerb blip), SPP – Street Parking Place, DPP – Disabled Parking Place, SYL – Single Yellow Line, NRT – No Return Time, TRO – Traffic Regulation Order

	Road Name	Proposed Restriction Description	Location	BCP Wards	Response	Decision
1.	Arthur Lane Christchurch	NWAAT	Junction with Belvedere Road and Arthur Road	Christchurch Town	No response received.	Implement as advertised. Reason: no objections received.
3.	Avon Close	NWAAT	Extend the double yellow lines	East Cliff & Springbourne	No response received.	Implement as advertised. Reason: no objections received.
6.	Belle Vue Road	NWAAT	Across the Bolton Close and between properties 103-105 Belle Vue Road	East Southbourne & Tucton	No response received.	Implement as advertised. Reason: no objections received.
7.	Browning Avenue	NWAAT	Even nos. from No 2, through to no. 18 Browning Avenue	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	1 in support	Implement as advertised. Reason: no objections received.
10.	Cranemoor Avenue / Cranemoor Close	NWAAT around junction and limited wait 2 hours	Cranemoor Avenue and Cranemoor close	Highcliffe & Walkford	5 in support 4 objections Local government should be encouraging train commuters Parking will be displaced pushing the problem elsewhere. Parking restriction will inconvenience residents Proposed parking restrictions should be extended	Implement as advertised Reason: The proposed restriction will improve access at the junction with Hinton Wood Avenue and at the junction of Cranmoor Close with Cranmoor Avenue. There is no legal right to park on the highway and is only permitted where it is safe to do so
11.	Hillcrest Road/Priory View Road	NWAAT	DYL needed around the corner with Priory View Road	Moordown	2 in support	Implement as advertised. Reason: no objections received.

## SUB REPORT K

12.	Hyde Mews, Christchurch	NWAAT	DYLs around turning circle	Christchurch Town	1 in support 1 objection 1 observation Parking restriction will make it more difficult for residents to park. Suggest parking restrictions at the junction with Clarendon Road Suggest permit scheme or time restricted scheme during school times	Implement as advertised. Reason: The proposed restriction will improve turning for delivery and service vehicles.
13.	Hopkins Close	NWAAT	On entrance to Hopkins close where is connect with Jewell Rd	Muscliff & Strouden Park	No response received.	Implement as advertised. Reason: no objections received.
16.	Kinson Road	NWAAT	Currently unrestricted outside the shell garage	Kinson	1 in support.	Implement as advertised. Reason: no objections received.
17.	Littledown Avenue	NWAAT plus NLAAT. 10m around junction.	Junction of Littledown Drive	Littledown & Iford	1 in support.	Implement as advertised. Reason: no objections received.
18.	Madeira Road	Re advertise Loading Bay	Outside Leyton Mount area bottom end of Madeira Road	Bournemouth Central	4 objections. Existing loading facilities are sufficient. Flat owners bought their properties with the understanding that vehicles would not be parked outside. Delivery vehicles that currently unload here park and obstruct the footway. Loading bay would be detrimental to the good working of Horseshoe Common.	Not to be implemented. Reason: No support for the scheme. Ward Councillor objection. Retain existing loading restriction.
19.	Malvern Road	School keep clear	Outside St Walburga's school opposite 155 Malvern Road	Moordown	No response received.	Implement as advertised. Reason: no objections received.
20.	Mudeford and Farm Lane	NWAAT	Corner of Mudeford and bay on Farm Lane	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	3 objections. Loss of parking would be detrimental to local businesses. Parking would be displaced to a dangerous location	Implement as advertised. Reason: The junction has a recorded Personal Injury Collision history. The proposed restriction improves access and visibility at the junction. There is no legal right to park on the highway and is only permitted where it is safe to do so.
21.	Norfolk Ave (St Catherine's Parade) (Adj. to 241 Fairmile Rd)	NWAAT	Norfolk Ave closure point at the junction with St Catherine's Parade	Commons	2 objections. Loss of parking.	Implement as advertised. Reason: The proposed restriction will improve through access for cyclists (past the bollards). There is no legal right to park on the highway and is only permitted where it is safe to do so.
22.	Oakwood Close	NWAAT	On the corner with Oakwood Road	Moordown	No response received.	Implement as advertised. Reason: no objections received.

## SUB REPORT K

23.	Paisley Road/ Watcombe Road	NWAAT	Junction with Paisley and Watcombe to prevent large vehicles parking and to prevent accident on approach to the junction	East Southbourne & Tuckton	No response received.	Implement as advertised. Reason: no objections received.
24.	Portchester Road	NWAAT	In front of the entrance to Portchester Court	East Cliff & Springbourne	1 observation. Road marking are need for whole of road. Cars double park.	Implement as advertised. Reason: no objections received
25.	Queens Avenue Christchurch	1 hour limited wait	allow more time in this location to use local amenities	Christchurch Town	No response received.	Implement as advertised. Reason: no objections received.
26.	Rowena Road	NWAAT	Southlea Ave junctions with Horsa Road and Rowena Road (full 10m)	East Southbourne & Tuckton	1 objection. Will exacerbate problem of parking close to property.	Implement as advertised. Reason: The proposed restriction improves visibility at the junction. There is no legal right to park on the highway and is only permitted where it is safe to do so
27.	Southlea Avenue	NWAAT	Southlea Ave junctions with Horsa Road and Rowena Road (full 10m)	East Southbourne & Tuckton	3 objections. Will exacerbate problem of parking close to property. Suggests removal of time restricted parking bays. Removal of parking will increase vehicle speed. Does not want double yellow across property's dropped kerb.	Implement as advertised. Reason: The proposed restriction improves visibility at the junction. There is no legal right to park on the highway and is only permitted where it is safe to do so.
28.	Stour Road	NWAAT	Outside No 12 Stour Road	Christchurch Town	2 in support 2 objections Proposed restriction will not improve traffic flow. Bus stop should be re-positioned. The proposed restriction would improve safety of motorist and cyclists.	Implement as advertised. Reason: The proposed restriction will improve traffic flow and congestion. There is no legal right to park on the highway and is only permitted where it is safe to do so.
29.	Strouden Avenue and Charminster Road	NWAAT	White Lines ineffective form Strouden Avenue down to Ashling Crescent	Queen's Park	1 in support 1 objections 1 observation Will improve inconsiderate parking. Agree in principle would lie the restriction extended past driveway Displaced parking.	Implement as advertised. Reason: The proposed restriction completes the junction accessibility improvement scheme. The restriction will reduce inconsiderate parking close to accesses. There is no legal right to park on the highway and is only permitted where it is safe to do so.

## SUB REPORT K

30.	Surrey Gardens	NWAAT	Upper end of Surrey Gardens in the turning circle	Talbot & Branksome Woods	1 in support 4 objections Loss of parking would adversely affect the residents. Suggest a reduce scheme. Existing restrictions not enforced. Parking has never been an issue Areas is needed for visitor parking and trade-people.	Not to be implemented. Reason: Little support for the scheme. Service and delivery vehicles can use alternative turning area.
33.	Wickfield Avenue, Christchurch	NWAAT (short section only)	Between Sopers Lane and the eastern loop of Wickfield Ave (part- north side)	Christchurch Town	7 in support 1 observation Requesting details of the scheme	Implement as advertised. Reason: no objections received.
34.	Winsford Close Christchurch	NWAAT	Allow more visibility from the junction onto Nada Road	Highcliffe & Walkford	1 in support. 2 objections. No reason for restriction. Yellow lines would be out of character Restriction would not be enforced	Implement as advertised. Reason: The proposed restriction improves visibility at the junction. There is no legal right to park on the highway and is only permitted where it is safe to do so.