





Proposal Title: Pokesdown Station Improvements
Appendix 3 – Decision Impact Assessment
Impact Summary

Climate Change & Energy	Green - Only positive impacts identified
Communities & Culture	Green - Only positive impacts identified
Waste & Resource Use	Amber - Minor negative impacts identified / unknown impacts
Economy	Green - Only positive impacts identified
Health & Wellbeing	Green - Only positive impacts identified
Learning & Skills	Green - Only positive impacts identified
Natural Environment	Green - Only positive impacts identified
Sustainable Procurement	Amber - Minor negative impacts identified / unknown impacts
Transport & Accessibility	Green - Only positive impacts identified

-  Major negative impacts identified
-  Minor negative impacts identified / unknown impacts
-  Only positive impacts identified
-  No positive or negative impacts identified

Answers provided indicate that the score for the carbon footprint of the proposal is: 1.5	The Carbon Footprint is banded as follows: 0-4 4.5-9.5 10-14 Low Moderate High
---	---

Proposal ID: **306**

Proposal Title: **Pokesdown Station Improvements**

Type of Proposal: **Project**

Brief description:

Partnership with Network Rail and South Western Railway to improve accessibility at Pokesdown Railway Station through works that would result in there being working lifts to provide wheelchair, buggy etc. access to both platforms from street level.

Proposer's Name: **Richard Pincroft**

Proposer's Directorate: **Regeneration & Economy**

Proposer's Service Unit: **Growth & Infrastructure**

Estimated cost (£): **Above OJEU threshold**

If know, the cost amount (£): **£2.6million**

Ward(s) Affected (if applicable):

Boscombe East & Pokesdown Boscombe West East Cliff & Springbourne East Southbourne & Tuckton Littledown & Iford West Southbourne

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) supported by the proposal:

3. Good Health and Well Being 10. Reduced Inequalities 11. Sustainable Cities and Communities

Proposal Title: Pokesdown Station Improvements**Climate Change & Energy**

Is the proposal likely to have any impacts (positive or negative) on addressing the causes and effects of climate change? **Yes**

If the answer was No, then the explanation is below (there are no answers to subsequent questions in this section):

- 1) Has the proposal accounted for the potential impacts of climate change, e.g. flooding, storms or heatwaves? **Not Relevant**
- 2) Does it assist reducing CO2 and other Green House Gas (GHG) emissions? E.g. reduction in energy or transport use, or waste produced. **Yes**
- 3) Will it increase energy efficiency (e.g. increased efficiency standards / better design / improved construction technologies / choice of materials) and/or reduce energy consumption? **Not Relevant**
- 4) Will it increase the amount of energy obtained from renewable and low carbon sources? **Not Relevant**

How was the overall impact of the proposal on its ability to positively address the cause and effects of climate change rated?

Green - Only positive impacts identified

The reasoning for the answer (details of impacts including evidence and knowledge gaps):
Some rail users living close to Pokesdown Rail Station have to travel to other more accessible stations. The proposed programme of work would negate the need for this.

Details of proposed mitigation/remedial action and monitoring (inc. timescales, responsible officers, related business plans etc):

None

Proposal Title: Pokesdown Station Improvements**Communities & Culture**

Is the proposal likely to impact (positively or negatively) on the development of safe, vibrant, inclusive and engaged communities? **Yes**

If the answer was No, then the explanation is below (there are no answers to subsequent questions in this section):

- 1) Will it help maintain and expand vibrant voluntary and community organisations? **Not Relevant**
- 2) Will it promote a safe community environment? **Yes**
- 3) Will it promote and develop cultural activities? **Not Relevant**

How would the overall impact of the proposal on the development of safe, vibrant, inclusive and engaged communities be rated?

Green - Only positive impacts identified

Reasoning for the answer (details of impacts including evidence and knowledge gaps):

The improvements will include enhanced CCTV within the rail station and upgrade of help points.

Details of proposed mitigation/remedial action and monitoring (inc. timescales, responsible officers, related business plans etc):

None

Proposal Title: Pokesdown Station Improvements

Waste & Resource Use

Is the proposal likely to have any impacts (positive or negative) on waste resource use or production and consumption? **Yes**

If the answer was No, then the explanation is below (there are no answers to subsequent questions in this section):

- 1) Will it prevent waste or promote the reduction, re-use, recycling or recovery of materials? **Not Relevant**
- 2) Will it use sustainable production methods or reduce the need for resources? **Partially**
- 3) Will it manage the extraction and use of raw materials in ways that minimise depletion and cause no serious environmental damage? **Not Relevant**
- 4) Will it help to reduce the amount of water abstracted and / or used? **Not Relevant**

How would the overall impact of the proposal on the sustainable production and consumption of natural resources be rated?

Amber - Minor negative impacts identified / unknown impacts

The reasoning for the answer (details of impacts including evidence and knowledge gaps): **Works to upgrade the station will require materials to be used but once in place it should eliminate the need for some journeys to more accessible stations that are further away.**

Details of proposed mitigation/remedial action and monitoring (inc. timescales, responsible officers, related business plans etc):

Ensure that procurement of contractors to facilitate the works give full consideration to the re-use and recycling of materials. Furthermore, that any materials required are sourced from sustainable sources.

Proposal Title: Pokesdown Station Improvements**Economy**

Is the proposal likely to impact (positively or negatively) on the area's ability to support, maintain and grow a sustainable, diverse and thriving economy? **Yes**

If the answer was No, then the explanation is below (there are no answers to subsequent questions in this section):

- 1) Will the proposal encourage local business creation and / or growth?

Partially

- 2) Will the proposal enable local jobs to be created or retained?

Partially

- 3) Will the proposal promote sustainable business practices?

Partially

How would the overall impact of the proposal on it's potential to support and maintain a sustainable, diverse and thriving economy be rated?

Green - Only positive impacts identified

The reasoning for the answer (details of impacts including evidence and knowledge gaps)

Provides better access to a sustainable travel mode.

Details of proposed mitigation/remedial action and monitoring (inc. timescales, responsible officers, related business plans etc)

None

Proposal Title: Pokesdown Station Improvements**Health & Wellbeing**

Is the proposal likely to impact (positively or negatively) on the creation of a inclusive and healthy social and physical environmental for all?

Yes

If the answer was No, then the explanation is below (there are no answers to subsequent questions in this section):

- 1) Will the proposal contribute to improving the health and wellbeing of residents?

Yes

- 2) Will the proposal contribute to reducing inequalities in health between different communities or groups?

Yes

- 3) Will the proposal contribute to a healthier and more sustainable physical environment?

Yes

How would the overall impact of the proposal on the creation of a fair and healthy social and physical environmental for all be rated?

Green - Only positive impacts identified

The reasoning for the answer (details of impacts including evidence and knowledge gaps):

Provides greater accessibility for residents and staff both to and from places of work, leisure, education etc.

Details of proposed mitigation/remedial action and monitoring (inc. timescales, responsible officers, related business plans etc):

None

Proposal Title: Pokesdown Station Improvements**Learning & Skills**

Is the proposal likely to impact (positively or negatively) on a culture of ongoing engagement and excellence in learning and skills? **Yes**

If the answer was No, then the explanation is below (there are no answers to subsequent questions in this section):

- 1) Will it provide and/or improve opportunities for formal learning?
Partially
- 2) Will it provide and/or improve community learning and development?
Partially
- 3) Will it provide and/or improve opportunities for apprenticeships and other skill based learning?
Partially

How would the overall impact of the proposal on the encouragement of learning and skills be rated? **Green - Only positive impacts identified**

The reasoning for the answer (details of impacts including evidence and knowledge gaps):
Better accessibility to schools, colleges, Universities and places of work.

Details of proposed mitigation/remedial action and monitoring (inc. timescales, responsible officers, related business plans etc):
None

Proposal Title: Pokesdown Station Improvements**Natural Environment**

Is the proposal likely to impact (positively or negatively) on the protection or enhancement of local biodiversity or the access to and quality of natural environments?

Yes

If the answer was No, then the explanation is below (there are no answers to subsequent questions in this section):

- 1) Will it help protect and improve biodiversity i.e. habitats or species (including designated and non-designated)? **Not Relevant**
- 2) Will it improve access to and connectivity of local green spaces whilst protecting and enhancing them? **Not Relevant**
- 3) Will it help protect and enhance the landscape quality and character?
Not Relevant
- 4) Will it help to protect and enhance the quality of the area's air, water and land?
Yes

How would the overall impact of your proposal on the protection and enhancement of natural environments be rated?

Green - Only positive impacts identified

The reasoning for the answer (details of impacts including evidence and knowledge gaps):
Encourage more rail users which is more sustainable.

Details of proposed mitigation/remedial action and monitoring (inc. timescales, responsible officers, related business plans etc):

None

Proposal Title: Pokesdown Station Improvements**Sustainable Procurement**

Is the proposal likely to involve the procurement of goods, services or works which risk negative impact on resources (including power, water, raw material extraction), natural environment or labour markets (e.g. welfare standards)?

Yes

If the answer was No, then the explanation is below (there are no answers to subsequent questions in this section):

Has or is it intended that the Strategic Procurement team be consulted?

Yes – already underway

If the Strategic Procurement team was not consulted, then the explanation for this is:

- 1) Do the Government Buying Standards (GBS) apply to goods, services and/or works that are planned to be bought?

Partially

- 2) Has sustainable resource use (e.g. energy & water consumption, waste streams, minerals use) been considered for whole life-cycle of the product/service/work?

Partially

- 3) Has the issue of carbon reduction (e.g. energy sources, transport issues) and adaptation (e.g. resilience against extreme weather events) been considered in the supply chain?

Partially

- 4) Is the product/service/work fairly traded i.e. ensures good working conditions, social benefits e.g. Fairtrade or similar standards?

Partially

- 5) Has the lotting strategy been optimised to improve prospects for local suppliers and SMEs?

No

- 6) If aspects of the requirement are unsustainable then is continued improvement factored into your contract with KPIs, and will this be monitored?

No

How is the overall impact of your proposal on procurement which supports sustainable resource use, environmental protection and progressive labour standards been rated?

Amber - Minor negative impacts identified / unknown impacts

The reasoning for the answer (details of impacts including evidence and knowledge gaps):

The proposal is at an early financial approval stage. If approved BCP officers would work with partners to encourage sustainable procurement outcomes. Regarding trying to improve prospects for local suppliers, this will be explored but it is likely that this will be difficult to influence as only specialist certified/skilled contractors are allowed to operate on live railway track and it is likely that the arrangement between partners is that all of this work is managed by Network Rail and South Western Railway with BCP as a promoter.

Proposal Title: Pokesdown Station Improvements

Details of proposed mitigation/remedial action and monitoring (inc. timescales, responsible officers, related business plans etc):

BCP Officers to engage with Network Rail and South Western Railway regarding sustainable procurement if decision is made to promote the work.

All new-build projects and all major refurbishments should have an appropriate environmental assessment method applied appropriate to the size, nature and impact of the project. This is likely to be:

- **BRE's Environmental Assessment Method (BREEAM) for buildings, or**
- **BRE's Civil Engineering Environmental Quality Assessment & Award Scheme (CEEQUAL) for civil engineering, infrastructure, landscaping and works in public spaces**

BREEAM rates, and certifies, buildings on a scale of:

- **Pass**
- **Good**
- **Very Good**
- **Excellent**
- **Outstanding**

CEEQUAL rates, and certifies, civils (and so on) on a scale of:

- **Pass**
- **Good**
- **Very Good**
- **Excellent**

Minimum Mandatory Level:

- **New-build projects achieve as a minimum an 'Excellent' rating**
- **Major refurbishments achieve as a minimum a 'Very Good' rating.**
- **In both cases unless site constraints or project objectives mean that this requirement conflicts with the obligation to achieve value for money.**

Best Practice Level:

- **New projects (buildings only) to achieve an "outstanding" rating**
- **Major refurbishment projects achieve an "excellent" rating**
- **In both cases unless site constraints or project objectives mean that this requirement conflicts with the obligation to achieve value for money.**

BCP officers to work to achieve most sustainable outcome with partners.

Proposal Title: Pokesdown Station Improvements**Transport & Accessibility**

Is the proposal likely to have any impacts (positive or negative) on the provision of sustainable, accessible, affordable and safe transport services - improving links to jobs, schools, health and other services? **Yes**

If the answer was No, then the explanation is below (there are no answers to subsequent questions in this section):

1) Will it support and encourage the provision of sustainable and accessible modes of transport (including walking, cycling, bus, trains and low emission vehicles)?

Yes

2) Will it reduce the distances needed to travel to access work, leisure and other services?

Yes

3) Will it encourage affordable and safe transport options?

Partially

How would the overall impact of your proposal on the provision of sustainable, accessible, affordable and safe transport services be rated?

Green - Only positive impacts identified

The reasoning for the answer (details of impacts including evidence and knowledge gaps):

Existing situation is that any person that uses a wheelchair, has limited mobility, has a buggy or pushchair, large luggage or bicycle that they want to take on the train is most likely unable to do so at Pokesdown due to limited accessibility. This proposal seeks to overcome that issue.

Details of proposed mitigation and monitoring (inc. timescales, responsible officers, related business plans etc):

None