

Proposal Title: Kingsbere Rd Experimental Traffic Regulation Order

Impact Summary

Climate Change & Energy	No positive or negative impacts identified	
Communities & Culture	Green - Only positive impacts identified	
Waste & Resource Use	No positive or negative impacts identified	
Economy	Green - Only positive impacts identified	
Health & Wellbeing	No positive or negative impacts identified	
Learning & Skills	Green - Only positive impacts identified	
Natural Environment	No positive or negative impacts identified	
Sustainable Procurement	Green - Only positive impacts identified	
Transport & Accessibility	Amber - Minor negative impacts identified / unknown impacts	

Answers provided indicate that the score for the carbon footprint of the proposal is: **0**

Answers provided indicate that the carbon footprint of the proposal is:	Low	
---	------------	---

Proposal Title: Kingsbere Rd Experimental Traffic Regulation OrderProposal ID: **428**Proposal Title: **Kingsbere Rd Experimental Traffic Regulation Order**Type of Proposal: **Project**

Brief description:

On 24th September 2021 an experimental road closure was introduced in Kingsbere Rd at the junction with Hennings Park Rd. This trial was to last for 6 months throughout which a public consultation was carried out. Traffic data was collected at various times and locations relating to motor vehicles, cyclists and pedestrians. A decision has been made by the PfH to revoke and remove the closure.

Proposer's Name: **Richard Pearson**Proposer's Directorate: **Regeneration & Economy**Proposer's Service Unit: **Growth & Infrastructure**Estimated cost (£): **Below £5K**If known, the cost amount (£): **£500**

Ward(s) Affected (if applicable):

Oakdale

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) supported by the proposal:

3. Good Health and Well Being 5. Gender Equality 8. Decent Work and Economic Growth 10. Reduced Inequalities 11. Sustainable Cities and Communities 13. Climate Action 16. Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions

Proposal Title: Kingsbere Rd Experimental Traffic Regulation Order

Climate Change & Energy

Is the proposal likely to have any impacts (positive or negative) on addressing the causes and effects of climate change? **No**

If the answer was No, then the explanation is below (in this case there are no answers to subsequent questions in this section):

The road closure was introduced to help promote active travel. Whilst the measure may have had some benefits in Kingsbere Rd itself, there were public concerns that traffic was displaced to surrounding roads with an equal and opposite impact there. Therefore removing the measure can be considered neutral.

- 1) Has the proposal accounted for the potential impacts of climate change, e.g. flooding, storms or heatwaves?
https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=CCh%5FClimate
- 2) Does it assist reducing CO2 and other Green House Gas (GHG) emissions? E.g. reduction in energy or transport use, or waste produced.
https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=CCh%5FEmissions
- 3) Will it increase energy efficiency (e.g. increased efficiency standards / better design / improved construction technologies / choice of materials) and/or reduce energy consumption?
https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=Cch%5FEfficiency
- 4) Will it increase the amount of energy obtained from renewable and low carbon sources?
https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=Cch%5FEnergy

How was the overall impact of the proposal on its ability to positively address the cause and effects of climate change rated?

No positive or negative impacts identified



The reasoning for the answer (details of impacts including evidence and knowledge gaps):

https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2



Proposal Title: Kingsbere Rd Experimental Traffic Regulation Order

[E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=CCh%5FExplain](https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=CCh%5FExplain)

Details of proposed mitigation/remedial action and monitoring
(inc. timescales, responsible officers, related business plans etc):

https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=CCh%5FMitigation

Proposal Title: Kingsbere Rd Experimental Traffic Regulation Order

Communities & Culture

Is the proposal likely to impact (positively or negatively) on the development of safe, vibrant, inclusive and engaged communities? **Yes**

If the answer was No, then the explanation is below (there are no answers to subsequent questions in this section):

https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=ComC%5FNoExplain

- 1) Will it help maintain and expand vibrant voluntary and community organisations?
No
- 2) Will it promote a safe community environment? **Partially**
- 3) Will it promote and develop cultural activities? **Partially**

How would the overall impact of the proposal on the development of safe, vibrant, inclusive and engaged communities be rated?

Green - Only positive impacts identified



Reasoning for the answer (details of impacts including evidence and knowledge gaps):

The public consultation generated 705 responses to the consultation questionnaire and 111 written responses. In summary, approximately 75% of respondees did not support the measure with 20% in favour. 5% were neutral. Key concerns related to the displacement of traffic. By supporting the majority public feedback, the Council will demonstrate that it is listening to residents views.

Details of proposed mitigation/remedial action and monitoring (inc. timescales, responsible officers, related business plans etc):

Many respondees suggested the alternative of keeping the road open but introducing traffic calming. This can be considered under the processes put in place to rank minor transport scheme requests, although the outcome of any assessment cannot be pre-determined and funding for such schemes is modest across BCP and there have been no recorded casualties on the route for the last 20 yrs.

Proposal Title: Kingsbere Rd Experimental Traffic Regulation Order

Waste & Resource Use

Is the proposal likely to have any impacts (positive or negative) on waste resource use or production and consumption? **No**

If the answer was No, then the explanation is below (there are no answers to subsequent questions in this section):

I the measure promoted active travel then that would have potentially reduced the use of energy including fossil fuels. Unfortunately it appeared that any benefit was likely to be offset by the impact of traffic displacement and therefore the decision to remove the measure can be considered neutral overall.

- 1) Will it prevent waste or promote the reduction, re-use, recycling or recovery of materials?

https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=Cons%5FEfficiency

- 2) Will it use sustainable production methods or reduce the need for resources?

https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=Cons%5FEnergy

- 3) Will it manage the extraction and use of raw materials in ways that minimise depletion and cause no serious environmental damage?

https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=Cons%5FMinerals

- 4) Will it help to reduce the amount of water abstracted and / or used?

https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=Cons%5FWater

How would the overall impact of the proposal on the sustainable production and consumption of natural resources be rated?

No positive or negative impacts identified



The reasoning for the answer (details of impacts including evidence and knowledge gaps):

https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=Cons%5FReasoning

Details of proposed mitigation/remedial action and monitoring (inc. timescales, responsible officers, related business plans etc):



Proposal Title: Kingsbere Rd Experimental Traffic Regulation Order

https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=Cons%5FMitigation

Proposal Title: Kingsbere Rd Experimental Traffic Regulation Order

Economy

Is the proposal likely to impact (positively or negatively) on the area's ability to support, maintain and grow a sustainable, diverse and thriving economy? **Yes**

If the answer was No, then the explanation is below (there are no answers to subsequent questions in this section):

https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=Economy%5FNoExplain

1) Will the proposal encourage local business creation and / or growth?

Yes

2) Will the proposal enable local jobs to be created or retained?

Yes

3) Will the proposal promote sustainable business practices?

Don't know even though may be relevant

=How would the overall impact of the proposal on it's potential to support and maintain a sustainable, diverse and thriving economy be rated?

Green - Only positive impacts identified



The reasoning for the answer (details of impacts including evidence and knowledge gaps):

The consultation included consideration of the impact on business owners and working people. These groups had a particularly adverse response to the measure and therefore thje decision to remove the measure is likely to improve the situation for these individuals.

Details of proposed mitigation/remedial action and monitoring (inc. timescales, responsible officers, related business plans etc):

No apparent.

Proposal Title: Kingsbere Rd Experimental Traffic Regulation Order

Health & Wellbeing

Is the proposal likely to impact (positively or negatively) on the creation of a inclusive and healthy social and physical environmental for all? **No**

If the answer was No, then the explanation is below (there are no answers to subsequent questions in this section):

The introduction and decision to remove the measure appeared to have both positive and negative impacts that were approximately in balance.

- 1) Will the proposal contribute to improving the health and wellbeing of residents or staff?

https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=HandW%5FResidents

- 2) Will the proposal contribute to reducing inequalities?

- 3) Will the proposal contribute to a healthier and more sustainable physical environment for residents or staff?

https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=HandW%5FEnvironment

How would the overall impact of the proposal on the creation of a fair and healthy social and physical environmental for all be rated?

No positive or negative impacts identified



The reasoning for the answer (details of impacts including evidence and knowledge gaps):

Details of proposed mitigation/remedial action and monitoring (inc. timescales, responsible officers, related business plans etc):

Proposal Title: Kingsbere Rd Experimental Traffic Regulation Order

Learning & Skills

Is the proposal likely to impact (positively or negatively) on a culture of ongoing engagement and excellence in learning and skills? **Yes**

If the answer was No, then the explanation is below (there are no answers to subsequent questions in this section):

https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=LaS%5FNo
[Explain](#)

- 1) Will it provide and/or improve opportunities for formal learning?
No
- 2) Will it provide and/or improve community learning and development?
Partially
- 3) Will it provide and/or improve opportunities for apprenticeships and other skill based learning?
No

How would the overall impact of the proposal on the encouragement of learning and skills be rated?

Green - Only positive impacts identified



The reasoning for the answer (details of impacts including evidence and knowledge gaps):

The decision to remove the measure addresses the concerns and overall outcome of the public consultation. The whole exercise of conducting the trial can be considered a positive examination of a potential option for this community and in that sense a learning experience, even though most residents did not ultimately support the measure. The consultation also provided an opportunity for the Council to explain transport policy and encourage residents to consider using more active modes of travel.

Details of proposed mitigation/remedial action and monitoring (inc. timescales, responsible officers, related business plans etc):

Consideration of traffic calming as an alternative measure at this location.

Proposal Title: Kingsbere Rd Experimental Traffic Regulation Order

Natural Environment

Is the proposal likely to impact (positively or negatively) on the protection or enhancement of local biodiversity or the access to and quality of natural environments?

No

If the answer was No, then the explanation is below (there are no answers to subsequent questions in this section):

https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=Nat%5FNoExplain

- 1) Will it help protect and improve biodiversity i.e. habitats or species (including designated and non-designated)?
https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=Nat%5FBiodiversity
- 2) Will it improve access to and connectivity of local green spaces whilst protecting and enhancing them?
https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=Nat%5FGreen
- 3) Will it help protect and enhance the landscape quality and character?
https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=Nat%5FLandscape
- 4) Will it help to protect and enhance the quality of the area's air, water and land?
https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=Nat%5FQuality

How would the overall impact of your proposal on the protection and enhancement of natural environments be rated?

No positive or negative impacts identified



The reasoning for the answer (details of impacts including evidence and knowledge gaps):

https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=Nat%5FReasoning



Proposal Title: Kingsbere Rd Experimental Traffic Regulation Order

Details of proposed mitigation/remedial action and monitoring (inc. timescales, responsible officers, related business plans etc):

https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=Nat%5FMitigation

Proposal Title: Kingsbere Rd Experimental Traffic Regulation Order

Sustainable Procurement

Does your proposal involve the procurement of goods, services or works? **Yes**

If the answer was No, then the explanation is below (there are no answers to subsequent questions in this section):

https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=Proc%5FoExplain

Has or is it intended that the Strategic Procurement team be consulted?

No

If the Strategic Procurement team was not consulted, then the explanation for this is:

Works will be carried out by inhouse teams.

- 1) Do the Government Buying Standards (GBS) apply to goods and/or services that are planned to be bought?

Not Relevant

- 2) Has sustainable resource use (e.g. energy & water consumption, waste streams, minerals use) been considered for whole life-cycle of the product/service/work?

Yes

- 3) Has the issue of carbon reduction (e.g. energy sources, transport issues) and adaptation (e.g. resilience against extreme weather events) been considered in the supply chain?

Yes

- 4) Is the product/service fairly traded i.e. ensures good working conditions, social benefits e.g. Fairtrade or similar standards?

Yes

- 5) Has the lotting strategy been optimised to improve prospects for local suppliers and SMEs?

Yes

- 6) If aspects of the requirement are unsustainable then is continued improvement factored into your contract with KPIs, and will this be monitored?

Not Relevant

How is the overall impact of your proposal on procurement which supports sustainable resource use, environmental protection and progressive labour standards been rated?

Green - Only positive impacts identified



The reasoning for the answer (details of impacts including evidence and knowledge gaps):



Proposal Title: Kingsbere Rd Experimental Traffic Regulation Order

The timber planter used to introduce the measure will be re-used elsewhere and by using the inhouse team, local people will be employed to undertake the work, even though in this case the extent of work is very minor.

Details of proposed mitigation/remedial action and monitoring (inc. timescales, responsible officers, related business plans etc):

Very minor works so not significant impact to consider.

Proposal Title: Kingsbere Rd Experimental Traffic Regulation Order

Transport & Accessibility

Is the proposal likely to have any impacts (positive or negative) on the provision of sustainable, accessible, affordable and safe transport services - improving links to jobs, schools, health and other services? **Yes**

If the answer was No, then the explanation is below (there are no answers to subsequent questions in this section):

https://bcpcouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/DIATool/_layouts/15/FldEdit.aspx?List=%7B2E2D7491%2D8B31%2D4BA7%2D93E7%2D0E4555716D48%7D&Field=Trans%5FNoExplain

- 1) Will it support and encourage the provision of sustainable and accessible modes of transport (including walking, cycling, bus, trains and low emission vehicles)?

Partially

- 2) Will it reduce the distances needed to travel to access work, leisure and other services? **Yes**

- 3) Will it encourage affordable and safe transport options?

Partially

How would the overall impact of your proposal on the provision of sustainable, accessible, affordable and safe transport services be rated?

Amber - Minor negative impacts identified / unknown impacts



The reasoning for the answer (details of impacts including evidence and knowledge gaps):

The decision to remove the measure will result in more direct routes of travel but at the same time will increase motor traffic in Kingsbere Rd. Any increase in motor traffic in Kingsbere Rd is likely to be offset by a reduction in motor traffic on diversion routes and in that sense the decision to remove the measure will have both positive and negative impacts that are broadly assessed as neutral overall. Similarly, the experimental closure of Kingsbere Rd is likely to promote use of the route by those who cycle and walk. The route is defined as a secondary route on the Local Cycling and Walking Implementation Plan and the removal of the measure can be regarded as somewhat counterproductive in that regard. However, it is noted that routes with low traffic speeds and low traffic flows do not necessary require closure for them to be suitable as a cycle route and it is known that motor traffic flows are likely to be low, even if the road is re-opened. Traffic speed has not been measured in 'road open' conditions, however the route has a 30mph speed limit and is a relatively short connecting route, typically with some on-street car parking. Such a route is not likely to have very high traffic speeds. Also, if a road closure of this route displaces traffic onto other adjacent routes then that could have a negative impact on active travel



Proposal Title: Kingsbere Rd Experimental Traffic Regulation Order

modes on those routes such that overall, the removal of the measure is considered relatively neutral.

Details of proposed mitigation and monitoring (inc. timescales, responsible officers, related business plans etc):

Possible introduction of traffic calming in Kingsbere Rd.