

Appendix 1 – Consultation Outcome and Recommendations – Residential Disabled Parking Bays (P37 2023)

Consultation dates: 26 May 2023 – 16 June 2023

Schedule Legend: **DPP** – Disabled Parking Place; **General** – DPP available for any Blue Badge holder; **LWEPH** – Limited waiting except permit holders; **Permit** – DPP for sole use of permit holder; **Revoke** – removal of restriction; **SPPC&M** – Street Parking Place for Cars & Motorcycles.

Item No.	Road Name	Location	Proposed Restriction	Existing Restriction	BCP Ward	Summary of Responses & Points Raised	Decision
1.	Aspen Gdns, BH12	Outside Nos. 23 & 25	New Permit DPP	Unrestricted	Alderney & Bourne Valley	<p>Two objections received:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant does not need the space because the Blue Badge holder travels via bus and does not have issues getting out of the car. Proposed DPP would reduce on-street parking spaces and remove the option to double park. DPP should be located outside Nos. 7-9. Off-road parking is available for the applicant. Applicant should convert their front garden into a driveway. On bin collection days the DPP would not be accessible. DPP is not needed as Blue Badge holder is moving into a care home. <p>One support received:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant finds it difficult to park near their home. Provision should be made for those with disabilities. 	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant meets the eligibility criteria for a DPP and does require access to a car. The applicant currently parks their vehicle within this area meaning the ratio between the number of vehicles and the level of on-street parking spaces will remain the same. Therefore, it is not anticipated that any significant change in parking behaviour will occur. Double parking is generally not permitted on the public highway and therefore does not influence on-street parking capacity. Proposed DPP is to be located as close as possible to the access used by the applicant with due regard to site constraints. Applicant has also requested a smaller width DPP to maximise the availability of on-street parking for others. Existing off-street parking has been deemed unsuitable due to spatial constraints and the needs of the Blue Badge holder. The council does not require applicants to convert their front garden into off-street parking. Bins should be placed so they do not cause an obstruction to pedestrians and road users. Guidance can be found at bcpcouncil.gov.uk/Bins-waste-and-recycling/Bins/Household-rubbish-bin-collections.aspx#:~:text=BCP%20Council%20household%20waste%20collection%20guidance%20PDF. The DPP is currently required; when no longer needed, it will be removed.

Item No.	Road Name	Location	Proposed Restriction	Existing Restriction	BCP Ward	Summary of Responses & Points Raised	Decision
2.	Bramshaw Gdns, BH8	Adjacent to rear of No. 55	New Permit DPP	Unrestricted	Muscliff & Strouden Park	<p>One objection received:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed DPP outside No. 32 is unnecessary as they have off-road parking. There is no need for disabled parking. House prices will decrease. The decision to grant No. 32 a dropped kerb is dubious and should be formally investigated. Vehicles obstructing the dropped kerb for No. 32 were reported to the council. <p>Three observations received:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is no need for disabled parking. There is not enough on-street parking to accommodate the DPP. Verge at the north-eastern end of the cul-de-sac should be converted into parking spaces. 	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant meets the eligibility criteria for a DPP. The applicant currently parks their vehicle within this area, meaning the ratio between the number of vehicles and the level of on-street parking spaces will remain the same. Therefore, it is not anticipated that any significant change in parking behaviour will occur. Proposed DPP is not for the occupiers of No. 32 and is to be located adjacent to the rear of No. 55. House prices are subject to market conditions and are outside the scope of this consultation. Criteria for a dropped kerb can be found at: bcpcouncil.gov.uk/Roads-and-transport/Dropped-kerbs/Before-you-apply-for-a-dropped-kerb.aspx The decision to grant No. 32 a dropped kerb is not relevant to this consultation. Information about how to make a formal complaint can be found at bcpcouncil.gov.uk/Contact-us/Feedback-and-Complaints/How-to-make-a-formal-complaint.aspx. Dropped kerbs are enforceable and drivers can be issued a PCN for obstructing them without consent. The verge referred to does not form part of the part of the public highway. A map illustrating the extent of this can be found at maps.christchurchandeastdorset.gov.uk/map/Aurora.svc/run?script=%5CAurora%5CHighways%20Maintainable%20Extent.AuroraScript%24&nocache=eced8870-10ba-e111-acf6-5fbbdc6c9ebb&resize=always. Also converting verge into parking is outside the scope of this consultation.
3.	Brassey Rd, BH9	Outside No. 64	New Permit DPP	Unrestricted	Moordown	No response received.	Implement as advertised. Reason: no objections.
4.	Bryanstone Rd, BH3	Outside No. 16	New Permit DPP (to replace SPPC&M)	Street Parking Place (no permits)	Talbot & Branksome Woods	No response received.	Implement as advertised. Reason: no objections.
5.	Carters Ave, BH15	Outside No. 28	New Permit DPP	Unrestricted	Hamworthy	<p>Two objections received:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are already too many vehicles parking on the road. 	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The applicant currently parks their vehicle within this area, meaning the ratio between the number of vehicles and the level of on-street parking spaces will remain the same. Therefore, it is not anticipated that any significant change in parking behaviour will occur.

Item No.	Road Name	Location	Proposed Restriction	Existing Restriction	BCP Ward	Summary of Responses & Points Raised	Decision
6.	Courthill Rd, BH14	Outside No. 56	New Permit DPP	Prescribed loading and waiting restrictions	Penn Hill	<p>Four objections received:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would create parking issues for the occupiers of No. 56 who have a child with a severe health condition. • Would exacerbate parking stress because existing restrictions mean there is already insufficient spaces. • Unnecessary as DPP bays are already provided and Blue Badge holders can park on single or double yellow lines. • Increased vehicle crime means residents need to park close to their home. • Would prevent No. 56 from building a driveway. • DPP should be located outside the applicant's property. • Would exacerbate irresponsible parking. <p>One observation received:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parking restrictions outside the school should be adjusted to free up space for the DPP. • DPP bays appear to take up considerable space. 	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Subject to eligibility, other Blue Badge holders can also apply for a DPP (visit bcpcouncil.gov.uk/Parking/Blue-badges-for-disabled-parking/On-street-residential-disabled-parking-bays.aspx). • The applicant currently parks their vehicle within this area, meaning the ratio between the number of vehicles and the level of on-street parking spaces will remain the same. Therefore, it is not anticipated that any significant change in parking behaviour will occur. Other parking restrictions are outside the scope of this consultation. • Presently there is only one DPP on Courthill Road which is a permit bay. Blue Badge holders are only permitted to park on single or double yellow lines for up to three hours and not where there are loading restrictions. • Vehicle crime is outside the scope of this consultation and a matter for Dorset Police. • There is currently no dropped kerb outside No.56. • Proposed DPP is to be located as close as possible to the access used by the applicant with due regard to site constraints. • Restrictions outside the school are in place for reasons of road safety and congestion. Therefore, removing them is not considered sensible. • The dimensions of a DPP are regulated and a length of 6.6 metres is prescribed. A typical car parking space is five metres long.
7.	Cromwell Rd, BH5	Outside No. 9	Revoke General DPP	General DPP	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	No response received.	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reason: no objections.</p>
8.	Draycott Rd, BH10	Outside No. 42	New Permit DPP	Unrestricted	Redhill & Northbourne	<p>One observation received:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposed DPP should be located outside No. 40 because the space outside No. 42 can accommodate two cars unlike that outside No. 40. 	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reason:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The space outside No. 42 can only accommodate one vehicle. This is because the distance between the dropped kerbs for the Church and No. 42 is approximately seven metres. A typical car parking space is five metres long. Therefore, moving the proposed location will make no difference to the availability of on-street parking.
9.	Fitzmaurice Rd, BH23	Outside No. 25	Revoke Permit DPP	Permit DPP	Commons	No response received.	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reason: no objections.</p>
10.	Gort Rd, BH11	Outside No. 5	New Permit DPP	Unrestricted	Kinson	No response received.	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reason: no objections.</p>
11.	Hankinson Rd, BH9	Outside No. 90	New Permit DPP	Unrestricted	Winton East	<p>Three support received:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parking is nearly always problematic, deterring the applicant from leaving the house. • Applicant's medical condition means they are not able to walk from a space that is not outside their house. 	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reason: no objections.</p>

Item No.	Road Name	Location	Proposed Restriction	Existing Restriction	BCP Ward	Summary of Responses & Points Raised	Decision
12.	Hannington Rd, BH7	Outside No. 16	Revoke General DPP	General DPP	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	<p>One support received:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DPP is no longer used and obstructs the dropped kerb of No. 16. New property owners of No. 16 would like to apply for an Access Protection Marking (APM). 	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No objections. Information about how to apply for an APM can be found at bcpcouncil.gov.uk/Roads-and-transport/Dropped-kerbs/Apply-for-a-dropped-kerb.aspx.
13.	Harrison Ave, BH1	Outside No. 18/16	New Permit DPP	Unrestricted	East Cliff & Springbourne	No response received.	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reason: no objections.</p>
14.	Heather Rd, BH10	Outside No. 36	New Permit DPP	Unrestricted	Redhill & Northbourne	No response received.	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reason: no objections.</p>
15.	Hendford Rd, BH10	Outside No. 56	New Permit DPP	Unrestricted	Redhill & Northbourne	No response received.	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reason: no objections.</p>
16.	Holloway Ave, BH11	Outside pedestrian entrance to No. 2	New Permit DPP	Unrestricted	Kinson	No response received.	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reason: no objections.</p>
17.	Jubilee Gdns, BH10	Outside No. 16	New Permit DPP	Unrestricted	Wallisdown & Winton West	No response received.	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reason: no objections.</p>
18.	Kings Park Rd, BH7	Outside No. 14	Conversion to Permit DPP	General DPP	East Cliff & Springbourne	No response received.	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reason: no objections.</p>
19.	Livingstone Rd, BH23	Opposite rear of No. 90 Purewell	New General DPP	Unrestricted	Christchurch Town	<p>One objection received:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Residents of Livingstone Road are already struggling to find places to park, have experienced vehicle damage and are resorting to parking illegally or paying to park in the Purewell Dairy Car Park. Applicant has off-street parking which they can use. Map tile AG40 is incorrect - the existing general DPP on Livingstone Road outside No. 4 Avon Court has been moved to opposite the rear of No. 84 Purewell. <p>One observation received:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The existing general DPP on Livingstone Road is not used so why is another one needed? There's plenty of safe double yellow lines that Blue Badge users could use. 	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The applicant currently parks their vehicle within this area, meaning the ratio between the number of vehicles and the level of on-street parking spaces will remain the same. Therefore, it is not anticipated that any significant change in parking behaviour will occur. Information on how to report illegal parking is available at bcpcouncil.gov.uk/Parking/Report-an-illegally-parked-vehicle.aspx. The applicant's off-street parking is not considered suitable for the needs of the Blue Badge holder. The need for correction is noted. The Traffic Regulation Order will be amended in due course to reflect present on street restrictions. The existing general DPP opposite the rear of No. 84 Purewell will be investigated and the formal process for its removal initiated if it is found to be no longer required. Blue Badge holders are only permitted to park on single or double yellow lines for up to three hours and not where there are loading restrictions.

Item No.	Road Name	Location	Proposed Restriction	Existing Restriction	BCP Ward	Summary of Responses & Points Raised	Decision
20.	Long Rd, BH10	Outside No. 58	New Permit DPP	Unrestricted	Kinson	<p>One objection received:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would create parking issues for Blue Badge holder at No. 56. • Applicant does not currently experience parking issues. • Applicant rarely uses their vehicle. <p>One observation received:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vandalism to the street notice advertising the consultation. <p>One support received:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • By improving accessibility, the DPP will help reduce loneliness and anxiety for the applicant. 	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed DPP is to be located outside No. 58. Unrestricted parking would remain outside No. 56. Subject to eligibility, other Blue Badge holders can also apply for a DPP (visit bcpcouncil.gov.uk/Parking/Blue-badges-for-disabled-parking/On-street-residential-disabled-parking-bays.aspx). Also, the applicant currently parks their vehicle within this area, meaning the ratio between the number of vehicles and the level of on-street parking spaces will remain the same. Therefore, it is not anticipated that any significant change in parking behaviour will occur. • Applicant meets the eligibility criteria for a DPP. • Site notices are installed in pairs. Details of the consultation were also made available in the Bournemouth Daily Echo newspaper on Friday 26th May 2023 and online at haveyoursay.bcpccouncil.gov.uk/hub-page/highway-consultations under the entry 'Disabled Parking Proposals (P37 2023)'.
21.	Noyce Gdns, BH8	Outside No. 3	New Permit DPP	Unrestricted	Muscliff & Strouden Park	No response received.	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reason: no objections.</p>
22.	Quay Rd, BH23	Outside Redhouse Museum & Gardens	Revoke Permit DPP (replace with LWEPH)	Permit DPP	Christchurch Town	<p>One support received:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DPP was impractical as it limited parking turnover. • DPP was unnecessary as Blue Badge holders can park on single or double yellow lines. • On-street parking in the locale should only be available to residential permit holders only. 	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No objections. • DPP was for the exclusive use of the permit holder. • Blue Badge holders are only permitted to park on single or double yellow lines for up to three hours and not where there are loading restrictions. • Changes to other parking restrictions are outside the scope of this consultation.
23.	Rebbeck Rd, BH7	Outside No. 93	Revoke General DPP	General DPP	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	No response received.	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reason: no objections.</p>
24.	Rutland Rd, BH9	Outside No. 15	New Permit DPP	Unrestricted	Winton East	No response received.	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reason: no objections.</p>
25.	Southcote Rd, BH1	Outside No. 17	Revoke Permit DPP	Permit DPP	East Cliff & Springbourne	No response received.	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reason: no objections.</p>
26.	Strathmore Rd, BH9	Outside No. 41	New Permit DPP	Unrestricted	Muscliff & Strouden Park	No response received.	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reason: no objections.</p>
27.	Wilson Rd, BH1	Outside No. 37	Revoke General DPP	General DPP	East Cliff & Springbourne	No response received.	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reason: no objections.</p>

Item No.	Road Name	Location	Proposed Restriction	Existing Restriction	BCP Ward	Summary of Responses & Points Raised	Decision
28.	Wolverton Rd, BH7	Outside No. 78	New General DPP	Unrestricted	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	<p>One observation received:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Owner of No. 78 would find it harder to park and could be considered disabled so should also have a DPP. Applicant does not own their property and is not a long-term resident. Owner of No. 78 applied for a dropped kerb but this was rejected due to a lighting column. 	<p>Implement as advertised.</p> <p>Reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The applicant currently parks their vehicle within this area, meaning the ratio between the number of vehicles and the level of on-street parking spaces will remain the same. Therefore, it is not anticipated that any significant change in parking behaviour will occur. Subject to eligibility, other Blue Badge holders can also apply for a DPP (visit bcpcouncil.gov.uk/Parking/Blue-badges-for-disabled-parking/On-street-residential-disabled-parking-bays.aspx). Applicant meets the eligibility criteria for a DPP. Home ownership and/or tenure have no bearing on eligibility. Applications for dropped kerbs are outside the scope of this consultation. Further information about dropped kerbs is available at bcpcouncil.gov.uk/Roads-and-transport/Dropped-kerbs/Dropped-kerbs.aspx.
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<p>Three general observations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vast majority of these are in Bournemouth. I am worried that the public are oblivious to what is available when they are struggling with disabilities. Respondent chose to withdraw their objection. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applications can be made by residents of Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole. The specific location within the BCP administrative area has no bearing on eligibility. Blue Badge information can be found at bcpcouncil.gov.uk/Parking/Blue-badges-for-disabled-parking/Blue-badges-for-disabled-parking.aspx.