

APPENDIX 4

08.10.25 – 10:54

Hi

Could I please see the application and current license for this as well as the Bournemouth licensing policy for sex establishments which I believe has applied since the quashing order for the BCP licensing policy as the BCP policy included replacement of the Bournemouth policy.

In the meantime, could you please accept this, my objection to the licensing. I may ask you to accept an amended version depending on what the application and current license include.

" I object to the licensing of Simply Pleasure as a sex establishment. I don't have the Bournemouth policy on licensing sex establishments to hand but believe it applies following the quashing of the BCP policy and in the absence of any publicly stated legal authority for this not applying the committee should be guided by the Bournemouth policy. As stated in a previous objection the location is too near the public library and is passed by unaccompanied minors on their way to and from school and the library. It is very near many residential premises.

The applicant is unsuitable for being licensed to run a sex establishment because of the nature of some of the items it offers for sale whether at the store or online. The applicant is also unsuitable as advertising in the store window is in breach of planning law. The planning department has told me that enforcement will not take place as it is not in the public interest to do so. However, the text size is still far too large to be legal in planning law, and advertises items and practices which if copied by children in their play could result in physical and mental harm. In particular the wording includes the term BDSM which varies in interpretation but generally relates to the infliction of mental or physical force on one party by another. I ask the committee to take into account the public sector equality duty in reaching a decision.

This concludes my objection."

Kind regards

Susan Stockwell